

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 06/22/2001 **BB1449 US NA** 9205 Anthony J. Kinney 09/887,194 **EXAMINER** 7590 09/27/2004 23906 ASHEN, JON BENJAMIN E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE 1635 WILMINGTON, DE 19805

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	09/887,194	KINNEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Ion D. Ashan	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Jon B. Ashen	ith the correspondence address-	
The MAILING DATE OF this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	un me correspondence address	
This application is abandoned in view of:			
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the C</li> <li>(a)  A reply was received on (with a Certificate period for reply (including a total extension of times)</li> </ol>	of Mailing or Transmission date		of the
(b)   A proposed reply was received on 15 July 2004, I rejection.	out it does not constitute a prope	r reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the	final
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reje application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with	filed Notice of Appeal (with app	•	r
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not confinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (S	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	fide attempt at a proper reply, to the n	on-
(d) No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue feet from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTC	•	e, within the statutory period of three n	nonths
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,</li> <li>), which is after the expiration of the statuto Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bal	ance of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if require	ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, ha	as not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as Allowability (PTO-37).	required by, and within the three	e-month period set in, the Notice of	
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _ after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailin	g or Transmission dated), which	ı is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed b the applicants.</li> </ol>	y the attorney or agent of record	, the assignee of the entire interest, or	all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed be 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	y an attorney or agent (acting in	a representative capacity under 37 CF	₹R
5. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Integration of the decision has expired and there are no allowed		d because the period for seeking court	review
7. The reason(s) below:	(oupEp	JOHN L. LEGUYADER VISORY PATENT EXAMINER HNOLOGY CENTER 1600	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to wi	thdraw the holding of shandonment	under 37 CER 1 181 should be promptly fil	led to
reutions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to will minimize any negative effects on natent term	and aw the holding of aballuchillett	under or Or A. 1. 101, Should be promptly ill	GU IU



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
				EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				092004	

**DATE MAILED:** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. Receipt is acknowledged of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) and a submission, filed on 5/27/2004. The submission, however, is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because Applicant, in filing a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 is not entitled to switch inventions. MPEP §706.07(h) states that "Applicants cannot file an RCE to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined as a matter of right (i.e., applicant cannot switch inventions). See 37 CFR 1.145. Any newly submitted claims that are directed to an invention that is independent and distinct from the invention previously claimed will be withdrawn from consideration and not entered. See subsection VI. below. An RCE is not the filing of a new application. Thus, the Office will not convert an RCE to a new application such as an application filed under 37 CFR 1.53(b) or a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d)."

In the instant case, in the Advisory Action of 6/09/2004, the previous examiner indicated that Applicant's proposed amendment of 5/27/2004, if entered, would raise the issue of new matter. This examiner, consequent to an attempt to clarify the potential issue of new matter as raised by Applicant's proposed amendment of 5/27/04, has determined that a) Applicant has requested that the above amendment be entered with their request for continued examination and b) that this amendment, if entered, would constitute a continued examination of the instant application on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined as a matter of right. In the instant case, it appears that applicant has attempted to switch inventions by submission of entirely new claims drawn to an invention that is independent and distinct for the following reasons. In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office action of 10/04/2002, Applicant elected the subject matter of group I in the reply filed 11/6/2002 wherein group I was claims 1,2, 6-12, 16-19 and 45, drawn to a recombinant construct which expresses an RNA comprising an RNA with a region unrelated to any endogenous RNA in the host located 5' to a region with homology to a target mRNA and the reverse complement of the unrelated RNA 3' to the homologous RNA. The limitation "with a region unrelated to any endogenous RNA," as recited in the claims drawn to the elected subject matter, is not recited in Applicant's newly presented claims 46-52, which do not require a region unrelated to any endogenous RNA or that said region unrelated to any endogenous RNA be located either 3' or 5' of a region with homology to a target mRNA. Therefore, it appears that Applicant, in removing the above limitations that require particular positioning of particular nucleotide sequences that must be unrelated to any endogenous RNA in the host, has changed the subject matter in newly presented claims 46-52 such that they are now drawn to a different invention than that previously claimed and examined as a matter of right

Since the submission appears to be a bona fide attempt to provide a complete reply to the prior Office action, applicant is given a shortened statutory period of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this letter, whichever is longer, to submit a

complete reply. This shortened statutory period for reply supersedes the time period set in the prior Office action. This time period may be extended pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a).

JOHN L LEGUYADER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1